

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION**

<b>RecursiveWeb, LLC,</b>  Plaintiff,  v.  <b>Cision Ltd.,</b>  Defendant.	Case No. 6:20-cv-831  Patent Case  Jury Trial Demanded
--	--

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

Plaintiff RecursiveWeb, LLC (“Plaintiff”), through its attorneys, complains of Cision Ltd. (“Defendant”), and alleges the following:

**PARTIES**

1. Plaintiff RecursiveWeb, LLC is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware that maintains its principal place of business at 16192 Coastal Highway, Lewes, Delaware.

2. Defendant Cision Ltd. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Texas that maintains an established place of business at 800 Brazos St #340, Austin, TX 78701.

**JURISDICTION**

3. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.

4. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it has engaged in systematic and continuous business activities in this District, and is incorporated in this District’s

state. As described below, Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement giving rise to this action within this District.

#### **VENUE**

6. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) because Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement in this District, has an established place of business in this District, and is incorporated in this District's state. In addition, Plaintiff has suffered harm in this district.

#### **PATENTS-IN-SUIT**

7. Plaintiff is the assignee of all right, title and interest in United States Patent Nos. 7,756,807 (the "'807 Patent"); 8,244,661 (the "'661 Patent"); 8,620,848 (the "'848 Patent"); (collectively the "Patents-in-Suit"); including all rights to enforce and prosecute actions for infringement and to collect damages for all relevant times against infringers of the Patents-in-Suit. Accordingly, Plaintiff possesses the exclusive right and standing to prosecute the present action for infringement of the Patents-in-Suit by Defendant.

#### **The '807 Patent**

8. The '807 Patent is entitled "System and method for facts extraction and domain knowledge repository creation from unstructured and semi-structured documents," and issued 07/13/2010. The application leading to the '807 Patent was filed on 09/24/2008. A true and correct copy of the '807 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by reference.

9. The '807 Patent is valid and enforceable.

#### **The '661 Patent**

10. The '661 Patent is entitled "System and method for facts extraction and domain knowledge repository creation from unstructured and semi-structured documents," and issued 08/14/2012. The application leading to the '661 Patent was filed on 07/09/2010. A true and correct copy of the '661 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 2 and incorporated herein by reference.

11. The '661 Patent is valid and enforceable.

**The '848 Patent**

12. The '848 Patent is entitled "System and method for facts extraction and domain knowledge repository creation from unstructured and semi-structured documents," and issued 12/31/2013. The application leading to the '848 Patent was filed on 03/13/2013. A true and correct copy of the '848 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 3 and incorporated herein by reference.

13. The '848 Patent is valid and enforceable.

**COUNT 1: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '807 PATENT**

14. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

15. **Direct Infringement.** Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '807 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claims of the '807 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the "Exemplary '807 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '807 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

16. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '807 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.

17. **Actual Knowledge of Infringement.** The service of this Complaint upon Defendant constitutes actual knowledge of infringement as alleged here.

18. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendant continues to make, use, test, sell, offer for sale, market, and/or import into the United States, products that infringe the '807 Patent. On information and belief, Defendant has also continued to sell the Exemplary Defendant Products and distribute product literature and website materials inducing end users and others to use its products in the customary and intended manner that infringes the '807 Patent.

19. **Induced Infringement.** Defendant therefore actively, knowingly, and intentionally has been and continues to induce infringement of the '807 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary Defendant Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '807 Patent.

20. **Contributory Infringement.** Defendant therefore actively, knowingly, and intentionally has been and continues materially contribute to their own customers' infringement of the '807 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary Defendant Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '807 Patent. The Exemplary Defendant Products are especially made or adapted for infringing the '876 Patent and have no substantial non-infringing use. For example, in view of the preceding paragraphs, the Exemplary Defendant Products contain functionality which is material to at least one claim of the '807 Patent.

21. Exhibit 4 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '807 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the '807 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '807 Patent Claims.

22. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 4.

23. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement.

#### **COUNT 2: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '661 PATENT**

24. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

25. **Direct Infringement.** Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '661 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claims of the '661 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the "Exemplary '661 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '661 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

26. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '661 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.

27. **Actual Knowledge of Infringement.** The service of this Complaint upon Defendant constitutes actual knowledge of infringement as alleged here.

28. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendant continues to make, use, test, sell, offer for sale, market, and/or import into the United States, products that infringe the '661 Patent. On information and belief, Defendant has also continued to sell the Exemplary Defendant Products and distribute product literature and website materials inducing end users and others to use its products in the customary and intended manner that infringes the '661 Patent.

29. **Induced Infringement.** Defendant therefore actively, knowingly, and intentionally has been and continues to induce infringement of the '661 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary Defendant Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '661 Patent.

30. **Contributory Infringement.** Defendant therefore actively, knowingly, and intentionally has been and continues materially contribute to their own customers' infringement of the '661 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary Defendant Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '661 Patent. The Exemplary Defendant Products are especially made or adapted for infringing the '876 Patent and have no substantial non-infringing use. For example, in view of the preceding paragraphs, the Exemplary Defendant Products contain functionality which is material to at least one claim of the '661 Patent.

31. Exhibit 5 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '661 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the '661 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '661 Patent Claims.

32. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 5.

33. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement.

**COUNT 3: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '848 PATENT**

34. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

35. **Direct Infringement.** Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '848 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claims of the '848 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the "Exemplary '848 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '848 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

36. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '848 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.

37. **Actual Knowledge of Infringement.** The service of this Complaint upon Defendant constitutes actual knowledge of infringement as alleged here.

38. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendant continues to make, use, test, sell, offer for sale, market, and/or import into the United States, products that infringe the '848 Patent. On information and belief, Defendant has also continued to sell the Exemplary Defendant Products and distribute product literature and website materials inducing end users and others to use its products in the customary and intended manner that infringes the '848 Patent.

39. **Induced Infringement.** Defendant therefore actively, knowingly, and intentionally has been and continues to induce infringement of the '848 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary Defendant Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '848 Patent.

40. **Contributory Infringement.** Defendant therefore actively, knowingly, and intentionally has been and continues materially contribute to their own customers' infringement of the '848 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary Defendant Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '848 Patent. The Exemplary Defendant Products are especially made or adapted for infringing the '876 Patent and have no substantial non-infringing use. For example, in view of the preceding paragraphs, the Exemplary Defendant Products contain functionality which is material to at least one claim of the '848 Patent.

41. Exhibit 6 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '848 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the '848 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '848 Patent Claims.

42. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 6.

43. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

44. Under Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff respectfully requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.



**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the following relief:

- A. A judgment that the '807 Patent is valid and enforceable;
- B. A judgment that Defendant has infringed, contributorily infringed, and/or induced infringement of one or more claims of the '807 Patent;
- C. A judgment that the '661 Patent is valid and enforceable;
- D. A judgment that Defendant has infringed, contributorily infringed, and/or induced infringement of one or more claims of the '661 Patent;
- E. A judgment that the '848 Patent is valid and enforceable;
- F. A judgment that Defendant has infringed, contributorily infringed, and/or induced infringement of one or more claims of the '848 Patent;
- G. An accounting of all damages not presented at trial;
- H. A judgment that awards Plaintiff all appropriate damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for Defendant's past infringement and, with respect to the '807 patent, the '661 patent, the '848 patent, any continuing or future infringement, up until the date such judgment is entered including pre- or post-judgment interest, costs, and disbursements as justified under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- I. And, if necessary, to adequately compensate Plaintiff for Defendant's infringement, an accounting:
  - i. that this case be declared exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and that Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees against Defendant that it incurs in prosecuting this action;

- ii. that Plaintiff be awarded costs, and expenses that it incurs in prosecuting this action; and
- iii. that Plaintiff be awarded such further relief at law or in equity as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: September 11, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Isaac Rabicoff

Isaac Rabicoff

**Rabicoff Law LLC**

73 W Monroe St

Chicago, IL 60603

(773) 669-4590

[isaac@rabilaw.com](mailto:isaac@rabilaw.com)

**Counsel for Plaintiff**

**RecursiveWeb, LLC**